

United States Patent and Trademark Office

cen

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/522,818	01/31/2005	Karl Lubitz	4001-1197	4863	
466 · YOUNG & TH	7590 12/22/200 IOMPSON	6	EXAMINER		
745 SOUTH 23	•	•	BUDD, MARK OSBORNE		
2ND FLOOR ARLINGTON,	VA 22202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
, mentoron,	771 22202		2834		
	•		·		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MONTHS		12/22/2006	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/522,818	LUBITZ ET AL.	LUBITZ ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
•	Mark Budd	2834		
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _				
<u> </u>	This action is non-final.	•		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal ma	atters, prosecution as to th	e merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		•		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the applicat	ion.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 13-18</u> is/are rejected.	•			
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12</u> is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.			
Application Papers	,		.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 January 2005</u> is/s		objected to by the Exami	ner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	•	·	•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	= : :		CFR 1.121(d).	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
 Certified copies of the priority document 	ents have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in	Application No		
Copies of the certified copies of the p	riority documents have bee	n received in this Nationa	l Stage	
application from the International Bur	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies no	ot received.		
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1-31-05</u> .	6) Other: _	• • •		

Application/Control Number: 10/522,818

Art Unit: 2834

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1-10 and 13-18 are rejected hundred 35 USC 102 (a) as being anticipated by Cotton(654). Looking at, for example, figure 14B, Cotton teaches a piezoelectric actuator comprising, at least one piezoelectric element #419 which is provided with an appropriate electrode on either surface, at least one pretension a device for introduction of force into a volume of the piezoelectric layer by means of at least one force introduction surface #454, a force introduction surface is smaller than the surface section of the piezoelectric layer and the volume is a partial volume of the piezoelectric layer (force is not introduced into the volume of piezoelectric material at the extreme upper edge at the end periphery). The edges of #440 that contact the upper surface of the piezoelectric element act as knife edges (point-like or stripes) that introduce force into the upper surface of the piezoelectric element near its ends. The shaft #454 is in the shape of a cylinder which introduces force on to the lower surface of the piezoelectric element at its center, offset from the upper force introduction surfaces. Prestress is provided by spring #456. Note that figure 18 teaches that multiple piezoelectric layers can be used. Regarding claims 15-18 it is noted that the method of manufacturing is not germane to the patentability of the article claimed. The patentability of a device is determined on its own merits and not on the method by which it is made. Thus, the method of manufacturing "limitations" in these claims have not been given patentable consideration. It is noted that if these claims were written as actual method claims, rather than being dependent from a device claim, that restriction would be required between the two inventive groups.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 USC 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Cotton(654). As described above, cotton teaches the basic structure of the piezoelectric actuator. Cotton does not explicitly teach the claim thickness for the piezoelectric element. However, it has long been held that optimization of a don't device for a particular

Application/Control Number: 10/522,818

Art Unit: 2834

application is within the skill expected of the routineer. Thus to select specific dimentions for the structure of Cotton would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Claim 12 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Further cited of interest are Bryant (figure 1, Nakamura (figures 1, 2 and 4), Kolm (figures 1 and 3 and Cotton (005) (figure 1).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Budd whose telephone number is 571-272-2019. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6 a.m. to 4 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ^ Schuberg, can be reached on 571-272-20 44. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 4

Mark Budd Primary Examiner Art Unit 2834